



FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR (α, ψ) -MEIR-KEERLER-KHAN MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS IN b-METRIC SPACE

U. Karuppiah¹ and M. Gunaseelan²

1. Department of Mathematics,
St. Joseph's College(Autonomous),
Tiruchirappalli-620 002.
E-mail:u.karuppiah@gmail.com

2. Department of Mathematics,
Sri Sankara Arts and Science College,
Enathur, Kanchipuram-631 561.
E-mail:mathsguna@yahoo.com

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to establish fixed points for multivalued mappings, by adapting the ideas in [1] to the b-metric space setting.

Key words: (α, ψ) -Meir-Keerler-Khan multivalued mappings; b-metric space; α -admissible function; fixed point.

1 Introduction

The notion of metric space, introduced by F chet in 1906, is one of the corner-stone of not only mathematics but also several quantitative sciences. Due to its importance and application potential, this notion has been extended, improved and generalized in many different ways. An incomplete list of the results of

such an attempt is the following :quasi-metric space ,symmetric space,fuzzy metric space and so on.

In this paper,we pay attention to the concept of b-metric space.The notion of b-metric space was introduced by Czerwik[19] in 1993 to extend the notion of metric space.In this interesting paper,Czerwik [19] observed a characterization of the celebrated Banach fixed point theorem [20] in the context of complete b-metric spaces.Following this pioneer paper,several authors have devoted their attention to research the properties of a b-metric space and have reported the existence and uniqueness of fixed points of various operators in the setting of b-metric spaces(see,e.g.,[10,21-30] and some reference therein).

The aim of this paper is to generalize various known results proved by Zhi-gang Wang and Huilai Li[1] to the case of b-metric spaces and give an example to illustrate our main results.

2 Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{R}^+ denote the set of all nonnegative real numbers and let \mathbb{N} denote the set of positive integers.From [19,23,31,32]we get some basic definitions,lemmas,and notations concerning the b-metric space.

Definition 2.1. *Let X be a nonempty set and let $s \geq 1$ be a given real number.A function $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is said to be a b-metric if and only if for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following conditions are satisfied :*

(1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;

(2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$;

(3) $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$.

Then,the triplet (X, d, s) is called a b-metric space.

It is an obvious fact that a metric space is also a b-metric space with $s = 1$,but the converse is not generally true.To support this fact,we have the following example.

Example 2.1. *Consider the set $X = [0, 1]$ endowed with the function $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$ for all $x, y \in X$.Clearly, $(X, d, 2)$ is a b-metric space but it is not a metric space.*

Let (X, d, s) be a b-metric space.The following notations are natural deductions from their metric counterparts.

(i)A sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$ converges to $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$.

(ii) A sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence if,for every

given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$ for all $m, n \leq n(\epsilon)$.

(iii) A b-metric space (X, d, s) is said to be complete if and only if each Cauchy sequence converges to some $x \in X$.

From the literature on b-metric spaces, we choose the following significant example.

Example 2.2. (see [33]) Let $p \in (0, 1)$. Consider the space $L^p([0, 1])$ of all real functions $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_0^1 |f(t)|^p dt < +\infty$, endowed with the functional $d: L^p([0, 1]) \times L^p([0, 1]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$d(f, g) = \left(\int_0^1 |f(t) - g(t)|^p dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \forall f, g \in L^p([0, 1]).$$

Then, $(X, d, 2^{\frac{1}{p}})$ is a b-metric space.

Next, we collect some lemmas and notions concerning the theory of multi-valued mappings on b-metric spaces. We recall that $CB(X)$ denotes the class of nonempty closed and bounded subsets of X . For $A, B \in CB(X)$, define the function $H: CB(X) \times CB(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$H(A, B) = \max\{\delta(A, B), \delta(B, A)\},$$

where

$$\delta(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, B), a \in A\}, \quad \delta(B, A) = \sup\{d(b, A), b \in B\} \quad (1)$$

with $d(a, C) = \inf\{d(a, x), x \in C\}$

Note that H is called the Hausdorff b-metric induced by the b-metric d .

We recall the following properties from [19, 22, 23]; see also [34] and the references therein.

Lemma 2.3. Let (X, d, s) be a b-metric space. For any $A, B, C \in CB(X)$ and any $x, y \in X$, one has the following: (i) $d(x, B) \leq d(x, b)$, for any $b \in B$;

(ii) $\delta(A, B) \leq H(A, B)$;

(iii) $d(x, B) \leq H(A, B)$, for any $x \in A$;

(iv) $H(A, A) = 0$;

(v) $H(A, B) = H(B, A)$;

(vi) $H(A, C) \leq s(H(A, B) + H(B, C))$;

(vii) $d(x, A) \leq s(d(x, y) + d(y, A))$.

Remark 2.4. The function $H: CL(X) \times CL(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a generalized Hausdorff b-metric; that is, $H(A, B) = +\infty$ if $\max\{\delta(A, B), \delta(B, A)\}$ does not exist.

Lemma 2.5. Let (X, d, s) be a b-metric space. For $A \in CL(X)$ and $x \in X$, one has

$$d(x, A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in \bar{A} = A,$$

where \bar{A} denotes the closure of the set A .

Lemma 2.6. *Let (X, d, s) be a b -metric space and $A, B \in CL(X)$. Then, for each $h > 1$ and for each $a \in A$ there exists $b(a) \in B$ such that $d(a, b(a)) < hH(A, B)$ if $H(A, B) > 0$.*

Finally, to prove our results we need the following class of functions. Let $s \geq 1$ be a real number; we denote by Ψ_s the family of strictly increasing functions $\psi: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} s^n \psi^n(t) < +\infty$ for each $t > 0$, where ψ^n denotes n th iterate of the function ψ . It is well known that $\psi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$. An example of function $\psi \in \Psi_s$ is given by $\psi(t) = \frac{ct}{s}$ for all $t \geq 0$, where $c \in (0, 1)$.

Definition 2.2. *A multivalued mappings $T: X \rightarrow CL(X)$ is said to be α -admissible, with respect to a function $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, for each $x \in X$ and $y \in Tx$ with $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$, we have $\alpha(y, z) \geq 1$ for all $z \in Ty$.*

Definition 2.3. *Let (X, d, s) be a b -metric space and let $\delta(., .)$ be as in (4). Then, a multivalued mappings $F: X \rightarrow CL(X)$ is said to be h -upper semicontinuous at $x_0 \in X$, if the function $\delta(Fx, Fx_0) := \sup\{d(y, Fx_0) : y \in Fx\}$ is continuous at x_0 . Clearly, F is said to be h -upper semicontinuous, whenever F is h -upper semicontinuous at every $x_0 \in X$.*

Definition 2.4. [1] *Let $T: X \rightarrow K(X)$ be a mapping on a metric space (X, d) . Then T is called an (α, ψ) -Meir-Keeler-Khan multivalued mapping if there exist $\psi \in \Omega$ and $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \alpha(x, y)H(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(P(x, y)) \tag{2}$$

for any $x, y \in X$, where

$$P(x, y) = \frac{d(x, Tx)d(x, Ty) + d(y, Ty)d(y, Tx)}{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}.$$

Theorem 2.7. [1] *Let $T: X \rightarrow K(X)$ be an (α, ψ) -Meir-Keeler-Khan multivalued mapping on a metric space (X, d, s) . Suppose that the following hypotheses hold:*

- (i) (X, d) is a complete metric space;
- (ii) T is an α -admissible multivalued mapping;
- (iii) There exist x_0 and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$;
- (iv) T is continuous.

Then there exists a fixed point of T in X .

Definition 2.5. Let $T: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a mapping on a metric space (X, d, s) . Then T is called an (α, ψ) -Meir-Keeler-Khan multivalued mapping if there exist $\psi \in \Psi_s$ and $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \alpha(x, y)H(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(P(x, y)) \tag{3}$$

for any $x, y \in X$, where

$$P(x, y) = \frac{d(x, Tx)d(x, Ty) + d(y, Ty)d(y, Tx)}{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}.$$

3 Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let $T: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be an (α, ψ) -Meir-Keeler-Khan multivalued mapping on a metric space (X, d, s) . Suppose that the following hypotheses hold:

- (i) (X, d) is a complete metric space;
- (ii) T is an α -admissible multivalued mapping;
- (iii) There exist x_0 and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$;
- (iv) T is h -upper semicontinuous.

Then there exists a fixed point of T in X .

Proof. We construct a sequence starting from x_0 . If $x_0 \in Tx_0$, then x_0 is a fixed point. Suppose $x_0 \notin Tx_0$. Because Tx_0 is a compact subset of X , then $d(x_0, Tx_0) > 0$. If $x_1 \in Tx_1$, then x_1 is a fixed point, and subsequently, this proof is complete. Assume that $x_1 \notin Tx_1$. Then it is clear that $d(x_1, Tx_1) > 0$ because Tx_1 is a compact subset of X . We have

$$\begin{aligned} H(Tx_0, Tx_1) &\leq \alpha(x_0, x_1)H(Tx_0, Tx_1) \\ &\leq \psi\left(\frac{d(x_0, Tx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)d(x_1, Tx_0)}{d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Tx_0)}\right) \\ &= \psi(d(x_0, Tx_0)) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the definition of the Hausdorff metric and the fact that $x_1 \in Tx_0$ we get

$$d(x_1, Tx_1) \leq H(Tx_0, Tx_1) \leq \psi(d(x_0, Tx_0)). \tag{4}$$

In addition, the compactness of Tx_1 implies that there exists $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that

$$d(x_1, x_2) = d(x_1, Tx_1). \tag{5}$$

In combination with equations (4) and (5), we obtain that

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq \psi d(x_0, Tx_0) \tag{6}$$

We continue the sequence similarly. If $x_2 \in Tx_2$, then this proof is done. Thus, we assume that $x_2 \notin Tx_2$. Because $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0, x_2 \in Tx_1$, we have $\alpha(x_1, x_2) \geq 1$.

Furthermore, using condition (3) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} H(Tx_1, Tx_2) &\leq \alpha(x_1, x_2)H(Tx_1, Tx_2) \\ &\leq \psi \left(\frac{d(x_1, Tx_1)d(x_1, Tx_2) + d(x_2, Tx_2)d(x_2, Tx_1)}{d(x_1, Tx_2) + d(x_2, Tx_1)} \right) \\ &= \psi(d(x_1, Tx_1)) \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and, subsequently,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_2, Tx_2) &\leq H(Tx_1, Tx_2) \\ &\leq \psi(d(x_1, Tx_1)) \\ &= \psi(d(x_1, x_2)). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Likewise, by the compactness of Tx_2 , there exists $x_3 \in Tx_2$ such that

$$d(x_2, x_3) = d(x_2, Tx_2). \tag{9}$$

In combination with equations (6), (8) and (9), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_2, x_3) &\leq \psi(d(x_1, Tx_1)) \\ &= \psi(d(x_1, x_2)) \\ &\leq \psi^2(d(x_0, Tx_0)). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

By induction, we can obtain a sequence $\{x_n\}$ satisfying

$$x_{n+1} \in Tx_n, \quad x_{n+1} \notin Tx_{n+1}, \quad \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$$

and

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \psi^n(d(x_0, Tx_0)) \tag{11}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $m > n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_n, x_m) &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} d(x_k, x_{k+1}) \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} s^k \psi^k(d(x_0, Tx_0)), \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} s^k \psi^k(d(x_0, x_1)),
 \end{aligned}$$

and so $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Hence, there exists $z \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow z$.

From

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(z, Tz) &\leq s[d(z, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tz)] \\
 &\leq sd(z, x_{n+1}) + s\delta(Tx_n, Tz),
 \end{aligned}$$

since T is h -upper semicontinuous, passing to limit as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we get

$$d(z, Tz) \leq 0 \tag{12}$$

which implies $d(z, Tz) = 0$. Finally, since Tz is closed we obtain that $z \in Tz$; that is, z is a fixed point of T . \square

Example 3.2. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, and let $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in X$. Define a mapping $T: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \{0\} & (x = 0) \\ \{\frac{5}{6}x\} & (0 < x \leq 1) \\ \{\frac{4}{x}\} & (x > 1). \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

Let

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} \{\frac{6}{7}t\} & (t \geq 1) \\ \{\frac{5}{6}t\} & (0 \leq t < 1). \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

Then, $\psi \in \Psi_s$ and ψ is a strictly increasing function.

Let $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 6 & (0 \leq x, y \leq 1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

Obviously, condition (iii) of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied with $x_0 = \frac{1}{6}$.

We show that (3) is satisfied .

Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$.

Then, $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$.

If $x = y$, then obviously (3) is satisfied .

Let $x \neq y$.

If $x = 0$ and $0 < y \leq 1$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H(Tx, Ty) &= H(0, \frac{5}{6}y) \\ &\leq \frac{5}{6} \leq \psi(d(x, Tx)) \leq \psi(P(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $0 < x \leq 1$ and $0 < y \leq 1$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(Tx, Ty) &= d(Tx, Ty) = d(\frac{5}{6}x, \frac{5}{6}y) \\ &= \frac{5}{6}|x - y| = \psi(d(x, y)) \\ &\leq \psi(P(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (3) is satisfied.

We now show that T is α admissible.

Let $x \in X$ be given, and let $y \in Tx$ be such that $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$.

Then, $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$.

Obviously, $\alpha(y, z) \geq 1$ for all $z \in Ty$ whenever $0 < y \leq 1$.

If $y = 0$, then $Ty = \{0\}$. Hence, for all $z \in Ty$, $\alpha(y, z) \geq 1$.

Hence, T is α -admissible. Thus, all hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. However, 0 and 2 are the two fixed points of T .

References

- [1] Zhigang Wang and Huilai Li, "Fixed point theorems and endpoint theorems for (α, ψ) -Meir-Keeler-Khan multivalued mappings", *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2016, DOI 10.1186/s13663-016-0500-0(2016).
- [2] Khan, MS, "A fixed point theorem for metric spaces", *Rend. Ist. Mat. Univ. Trieste* 8, 69-72(1976).
- [3] Fisher, B, "On a theorem of Khan", *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma* 4, 135-137(1978).

- [4] Samet,B,Vetro,P "Fixed points theorem for α -contractive type mappings",*Nonlinear Anal.* 75,2154-2165.
- [5] Aydi,H,Karapinar,E,Samet,B, "Fixed points for generalized $(\alpha-\psi)$ contractions on generalized metric spaces",*J.Inequal.Appl.*2014,Article ID 68(2014).
- [6] Latif,A,Gordji,ME,Karapinar,E,Sintunavarat,W, "Fixed point results for generalized $(\alpha-\psi)$ -Meir-Keeler contractive mappings and applications",*J.Inequal.Appl.*2014,Article ID 68(2014).
- [7] Khan,MS,Swalen ,M,Sessa,S "Fixed points theorems by altering distances between the points",*Bull.Aust.Math.Soc.*30,1-9(1984).
- [8] Meir,A,Keeler,E, "A theorem on contraction mappings",*J.Math.Anal.Appl.*28,326-329(1969).
- [9] Redjel,N,Dehici,A,Karapinar,E,Erhan,IM "Fixed point theorems for $(\alpha-\psi)$ -Meir-Keeler-Khan mappings",*J.Nonlinear Sci.Appl.*8,995-964(2015).
- [10] Nadler,SB, "Multivalued contraction mappings",*Pac.J.Math.*30,475-488(1969).
- [11] Mohammadi,B,Rezapour,S,Shahzad,N "Some results on fixed points of $(\alpha-\psi)$ -Ćirić generalized multifunction",*Fixed Point Theory Appl.*2013,Article ID 24(2013).
- [12] Hussain,N,Kutbi,MA,Salimi,P, "Fixed point theory in α -complete metric spaces with applications",*Abst.Appl.Anal.*2014,Article ID 280817(2014).
- [13] Kutbi,M,Sintunavarat,W, "On new fixed point results for $(\alpha-\psi)$ -contractive multivalued mappings on complete metric spaces and their consequences",*Fixed Point Theory Appl.*2015,Article ID 2(2015).
- [14] Lin,LJ,Du,WS "From an abstract maximal element principle to optimization problems,stationary point theorems and common fixed point theorems",*J.Glob.Optim.*46,261-271(2010).
- [15] Fakhar,M "Endpoints of set-valued asymptotic contraction in metric spaces",*Appl.Math.Lett.*24,428-431(2011).
- [16] Amini-Harandi,A "Endpoints of set-valued contractions in metric spaces",*Nonlinear Anal.*72,132-134(2010).

- [17] Moradi,S,Khojasteh,F, "Endpoints of multivalued generalized weak contraction mappings",*Nonlinear Anal.* 74,2170-2174(2011).
- [18] Redjel,N,Dehici,A "Some results in fixed point theory and application to the convergence of some iterative processes",*Fixed Point Theory Appl.*2015,Article ID 173(2015).
- [19] Czerwik,S, "Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces,"*Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis*,vol.1,pp.5-11,1993.
- [20] Banach,S, "Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales",*Fundam.Math.*3,133-181 (1922).
- [21] Azam,A,Mehmood,N,Ahmad,J,Redenović,S "Multivalued fixed point theorems in cone b-metric spaces",*J.Inequal.Appl.*2013,Article ID 582(2013).
- [22] Czerwik,S,Dlutek,K,and Singh,S,L, "Round-off stability of iteration procedures for set-valued operators in b-metric spaces,"*Journal of Natural and Physical Sciences*,vol.11,pp.87-94,2007.
- [23] Czerwik,S "Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces",*Atti Semin.Mat.Fis.Univ.Modena* 46(2),263-276(1998).
- [24] Hussain,N,Shah,MH, "KKM mappings in cone b-metric spaces",*Comput.Math.Appl.*62(4),1677-1684(2011).
- [25] Reich,S, "Fixed points of contractive functions",*Boll.Unione Mat.Ital.*5 26-42(1972).
- [26] Aydi,H,Bota,M-F,Karapinar,E,Moradi,S "A common fixed point for weak ϕ -contractions on b-metric spaces",*Fixed Point Theory* 13(2),337-346(2012).
- [27] Boriceanu,M "Strict fixed point theorems for multivalued operators in b-metric spaces",*Int.J.Mod.Math.*4(2),285-301(2009).
- [28] Bota,M-F,Karapinar,E,Mlesnite,O, "Ulam-Hyers stability results for fixed point problems via $(\alpha-\psi)$ -contractive mapping in b-metric space",*Abstr.Appl.Anal.*2013,Article ID 825293(2013).
- [29] Bota,M-F,Karapinar,E, "A note on some results on multivalued weakly Jungck mappings in b-metric space",*Cent.Eur.J.Math.*11,1/11-1/12(2013).

- [30] Kikkawa, M, Suzuki, T, "Three fixed point theorems for generalized contractions with constant in complete metric spaces", *Nonlinear Anal.* 69, 2942-2949 (2008).
- [31] Bakhtin, I, A, "The contraction mappings principle in quasi-metric spaces," *Functional Analysis*, vol. 30, pp. 26-37, 1989 (Russian)
- [32] Aydi, H, Bota, M-F, Karapinar, E and Mitrović, S, "A fixed point theorem for set-valued quasi-contractions in b-metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2012, article 88, 2012.
- [33] Berinde, V, "Generalized contractions in quasimetric spaces," in *Seminar on Fixed Point Theory*, pp. 3-9, 1993.
- [34] Boriceanu, M, "Fixed point theory for multivalued generalized contraction on a set with two b-metrics," *Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai-Series Mathematica*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 3-14, 2009.